

INCOME TERMS OF TRADE AND ECONOMIC CONVERGENCE: EVIDENCE FROM LATIN AMERICA

IVAN TROFIMOV

KYS Business School, Malaysia

The paper considers the effects of income terms of trade (ToT) on GDP per capita in Latin American economies and examines whether improvement in the income ToT contributes to the stochastic convergence between respective economies and the US. It is shown that in the majority of the economies, income ToT had positive effects on the level of GDP per capita. The stochastic convergence was documented in Dominican Republic, and Uruguay. The positive effects of income ToT increase on GDP per capita convergence were documented only in Uruguay. The growth of the volume of exports played a key role in the process, while the effects on the part of net barter ToT were insignificant. In both economies, the improvement in the income ToT relative to the US level played a positive role in convergence.

Keywords: Terms of Trade, Convergence, Prebisch-Singer Thesis
JEL Classification: C22, F02, F14, N76

1. INTRODUCTION

The comprehensive theoretical discussion of the international economic factors contributing to income convergence included a variety of views and hypotheses, ranging from commodity and factor price equalization due to free trade (Helpman and Krugman, 1985); the distinction between the factor price and per capita income equalization processes and the absence of income convergence in the free trade setting (Slaughter, 1997); the primacy of foreign investment, dissemination of knowledge and positive human capital externalities, as opposed to free trade per se, in income convergence (Razin and Yuen, 1997). The empirical work likewise delivered contradictory results: the positive effects of trade on per capita income level, growth and the speed of income convergence (Ben-David, 1996; Choi, 2009), versus income divergence as a result of trade liberalization, increase in trade flows, and higher degree of openness and integration (Slaughter, 2001).

This paper focuses on the effect of ToT on GDP per capita level and GDP per capita

convergence. The effect, despite receiving less consideration in the literature, is nonetheless important, given that the structure and quality of exports may negatively affect the gains from trade and income levels in the developing economies and their income convergence with the developed world.

The deterioration of the ToT may lead to the decline/stagnation of per capita income and income divergence across developed and developing economies (so-called Prebisch-Singer thesis, PST, Singer, 1950), the process that can be reversed via industrialization and import-substitution policies in the developing economies. In contrast, the classical hypothesis of Mills, Ricardo and Malthus suggests the improvement of primary commodities' and the developing economies' ToT and respectively the income convergence, in the absence of industrialization (due to diminishing returns in primary production and increasing returns in manufacturing, as well as the transfer of technological progress gains to the Third World, Sarkar, 2001, pp. 310-311).

In this regard, the purpose of the paper is to answer three related questions. Does improvement in the ToT in Latin American economies have a positive effect on GDP per capita of these economies? If ToT in these economies have a positive contribution to GDP per capita, do they also bring in convergence in income between individual Latin American economies and the USA? Finally, does relative improvement in the ToT (i.e., ToT in the Latin American economy relative to the US ToT) bring in convergence between individual Latin American economies and the USA?

The paper is novel in three respects. Firstly, it goes beyond the question of whether ToT affect GDP per capita levels, and considers the effects of ToT (and the gap between ToT in developed and developing economies) on GDP per capita convergence. Secondly, it uses income ToT (ITT) as a better indicator of gains from trade and a measure of 'trade as engine of growth' (in fact, as stated by Singer, 1999, the PST was originally formulated as the analysis of ITT, rather than net barter ToT, NBTT). Thirdly, in contrast to previous contributions, it considers the most recent changes in ToT in the past three decades and their effects.

The analysis is likely to be important given seminal changes that took place in international and Latin American foreign trade in the past three decades: a complex interplay of globalization and de-globalisation tendencies, trade liberalization and protectionism, economic integration and disintegration, as well as (in the Latin American context) the growing trade flows between China and Latin America, the demise of import-substitution policies, and partial return to a primary-exporting role for Latin America (Vianna, 2016).

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. The next section discusses the relevant literature pertaining to the role of ToT in economic growth and convergence. Section 3 provides an econometric model for the analysis of the ToT-convergence issue and discusses data sources and other methodological problems. Section 4 presents empirical results and considers them in light of the economic history of Latin American economies in the 1980-2000s. Concluding remarks are contained in Section 5.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

Prebisch-Singer thesis (PST) was formulated as a response to the classical and neoclassical views of no deterioration in the primary commodity ToT, such as Malthusian hypothesis of decreasing returns in agriculture and increasing returns in manufacturing (Toye and Toye, 2003), the invariance of economic growth to ToT movements (Brecher and Choudhri, 1982), and stability of commodity prices and ToT (theory of dualism by Jorgenson, 1961, and open economy model of Zarembka, 1972).

PST (Singer, 1950) was formulated against these views and rested on the following assumptions. Developing economies specialize in the production of primary commodities, while developed economies specialize in the production of manufactured goods. The organized labour in the manufacturing sector of the developed economies prevents wage falls during recessions, but manages to secure wage increases during upswings, resulting in growing costs and prices of manufactured products. The wage repression and weak organized labour in developing economies results in the opposite tendencies - the cost and price of primary commodities do not rise sufficiently, and thus the ToT (the ratio of primary export prices to the prices of manufacturing imports) experienced by developing economies falls. In addition, the monopoly power of the manufacturing sector and the growing mark-up maintains manufactured goods' prices; while in the primary commodities sector, low income elasticity dampens demand and prices, in line with Engel's Law. Finally, the technical progress in many instances triggers substitution from raw materials and commodity inputs in production towards manufactured substitutes (e.g., synthetic versus natural rubber), thereby reducing demand for and prices of primary products. Overall, the prices of primary exports by the developing economies deteriorate vis-à-vis the prices of manufacturing exports from the developed economies, leading to the secular decline in the developing countries' ToT.

The Prebisch-Singer thesis (PST) is conventionally seen as a hypothesis of economic divergence between developed and developing nations, driven by deteriorating ToT. As Singer (1999) states, 'Falling terms of trade for poorer countries and improving terms of trade for richer countries would mean greater international inequality between countries' (Singer, 1999, p.912). However, R. Prebisch and H. Singer also acknowledge the possibility of the opposing tendency (albeit stated that it was unlikely in the international economic environment of the 1950-1960s). Convergence of GDP per capita would ensue (1) if the fall in net barter ToT (NBTT) is more than offset by the increase in exports volume and income ToT (ITT) improves, or (2) if the downward trend in NBTT reverses and NBTT stabilizes, while export volume continues to grow (p. 912). The convergence necessarily implies improvement in ITT rather than mere ITT stabilization (as the latter would mean divergence, i.e., economy uses more resources to increase exports in order to offset falling NBTT), as well as relative improvement in ITTs (i.e., ITTs in the developing economy grow faster than ITTs in the developed economy, or ITTs in the former grow while ITTs in the latter decline). The tendency that dominates is clearly a matter of empirical verification.

Much of the empirical work on PST concerned the examination of the dynamics and statistical patterns of the ToT series. The studies considered a number of aspects, such as trends in primary commodity prices (Kellard and Wohar, 2006); primary commodity prices versus prices of manufactured goods (Powell, 1991); prices of manufacturing exports and imports (Athukorala, 1993); prices of the country's total exports and imports, i.e., country ToT (Bleaney and Greenaway, 1993; Razzaque et al., 2007). Overall, the empirical support for the PSH is mixed, ranging from secular deterioration of the commodity ToT along the deterministic trend (Grilli and Yang, 1988; Arezki et al., 2012), non-continuous deterioration with major declines experienced around the structural breaks (Zanias, 2005), fluctuation around the mean (Cuddington and Urzua, 1989) or unit root behaviour (Kim et al., 2003). The ToT patterns also varied depending on the historical period in question, and were not uniform (Hadass and Williamson, 2003), with increases in some of the commodity prices being accompanied by decreases and stability in the others (Newbold et al., 2005).

Secondly, the empirical work considered the relationships between ToT and economic growth and income, and also examined the effects of ToT's volatility on growth.¹ This aspect is salient, given that PSH itself does not imply that ToT is the major (the only, or the most significant) determinant of GDP per capita and economic convergence. In addition to capital accumulation, technological change, enhancement of human capital, and institutional factors, other variables pertaining to international economic interactions may be important in convergence process (trade openness, trade liberalization, or international investment).

Positive effects of ToT improvement were reported in several instances: Basu and McLeod (1992) in a sample of 12 developing economies (principally in Latin America); Mendoza (1997) in the case of 40 developed and developing economies in the 1971-1991 period; Ekholm and Södersten (2002) in selected East Asian economies, Western Europe and the USA (with the most pronounced positive effects in the former group); Södersten (1991) in the study of the 19th century European economies; Mistal (2012) in Poland over 1980-2009 period; Wong (2004) in Malaysia (in 1965-2002); and Jawaid and Raza (2013) in India in 1980-2010. On the other hand, the negative effects of ToT on economic growth are identified by Blattman et al. (2003) in 35 developed and developing economies in 1870-1938 (with the negative effects being most salient in the developing economies); by Wong (2010) in Korea and Japan in 1971-2006 and 1996-2003, respectively; Jawaid and Raza (2015) in China in 1980-2010; and by Jebran et al. (2018) in Pakistan in 1980-2013.

As argued by Fosu (2011) and Misztal (2012), the likely explanations of the positive effects of ToT improvement include expansion of purchases of production inputs, adoption of more technologically efficient processes, growth of capital productivity, and

¹ The latter effects on growth are considered as important as the effects of the levels of ToT, being the most pronounced in the developing economies, due to their commodity specialization and generally higher degree of openness (Mendoza, 1997).

increase in government spending, consumption, and savings. The possible explanations for the negative effects are a decrease in the social costs of imports (e.g., due to rent-seeking) following ToT decline and resulting increase in economic growth (Anam, 1988); ToT deterioration in economies with significant differentiation of inter-sectoral wage rates (Batra and Pattanaik, 1971), high urban unemployment (Chao and Yu, 1990), or when capital is internationally mobile (Bhagwati and Brecher, 1980); movement of resources to non-traded import competing sectors following ToT deterioration, and decrease in prices of differentiated imported goods (Sen, 1998).

The review of the existing empirical literature suggests the following methodological innovations could be made to advance the empirical work.

Firstly, the empirical research progressively shifted the focus away from PSH as it was originally formulated. It tended to focus on the dynamics of NBTT as the ratio of unit value of exports to the unit value of imports (or as a ratio of export price index to the import price index). This approach may be unwarranted, since NBTT and commodity ToT do not account for gains from increased volume of trade and instead consider gains from a unit volume of trade, i.e., look at relative, not absolute gains from trade that matter for convergence (Baldwin, 1955). ITT is therefore a more accurate measure of 'trade as an engine of growth'. The few works that examined dynamics of ITT (as a product of NBTT and the export volume index) or interactions between ITT and growth were rare and included Wilson et al. (1969), Ekholm and Södersten (2002), Athukorala (2000), and Wong (2004).

Secondly, the link between economic growth and ToT was examined extensively. This, by itself, does not indicate convergence or divergence tendencies; hence, it is instructive to consider the relationship between the ITTs of the developing economies and the GDP per capita gap (the gap between GDP per capita in developed and developing economies). It is also necessary to consider whether GDP per capita gap becomes smaller as the ITTs of the developing economies improve and whether such gap becomes larger, as the ITTs of the developing economies deteriorate (i.e., to examine the relationship between ITT gap and GDP per capita gap).

3. METHODOLOGY

3.1. Model

We propose a sequential modelling approach that

- 1) Considers whether ITTs affect the level of GDP per capita in a particular economy
- 2) Looks at the convergence (divergence) trends in those economies where ITTs affect GDP per capita levels
- 3) For those economies where convergence took place, examines whether changes in ITT contribute to convergence (i.e., GDP per capita gap narrows down as ITTs improve)

and whether the diminishing gap in the ITTs (the improvement of ITTs in the developing economies relative to ITTs of the developed economies) affects the convergence process.

Firstly, the possibility of cointegration between ITT and GDP per capita is examined using the Pesaran-Shin-Smith (PSS) bounds test of cointegration proposed by Pesaran et al. (2001), provided that none of the variables is integrated of order 2 (i.e., is I(2)) and that the independent variable is not stationary in levels (i.e., is I(0)).

The relationship between the variables is considered within the aggregate production function framework that allows more complete specification and tends to avoid omitted variables' problem and that includes ITT, gross fixed capital formation, and gross secondary enrolment ratio and human capital index as independent variables:

$$GDPCAP = f(GFCF, LF, HC, ITT), \quad (1)$$

where all variables are represented in the natural logarithms. *GDPCAP* is GDP per capita, *GFCF* is gross fixed capital formation, *HC* is the human capital variable (either gross enrolment ratio at the secondary level or, in the case of Bolivia and Brazil, index of human capital per person), *ITT* is income ToT.

Pesaran-Shin-Smith (PSS) cointegration is present when bounds test statistic exceeds the upper bound critical value; i.e., the variable is integrated of order 1, I(1). Cointegration is absent when bounds test statistic is below the lower bound critical value; i.e., is I(0). In the cases, when the bounds test does not deliver definite conclusions, the significance of the error correction term (ECT) is considered to determine cointegration, in line with recommendations by Kremers et al. (1992).

In the presence of cointegration (as confirmed by PSS bounds test), the long- and short-run relationships are estimated using the autoregressive distributed lag (ARDL) model below:

$$\Delta GDPCAP_t = \varphi_0 + \varphi_1 GDPCAP_{t-i} + \varphi_2 GFCF_{t-i} + \varphi_3 HC_{t-i} + \varphi_4 ITT_{t-i} + \varepsilon_t, \quad (2)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta GDPCAP_t = & \psi_0 + \psi_1 \sum_{i=1}^p \Delta GDPCAP_{t-i} + \psi_2 \sum_{j=1}^q \Delta GFCF_{t-j} + \psi_3 \sum_{l=1}^q \Delta HC_{t-l} \\ & + \psi_4 \sum_{m=1}^q \Delta ITT_{t-m} + v EC_{t-i} + \varepsilon_t, \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

where $\varphi_0, \varphi_1, \varphi_2, \varphi_3, \varphi_4$ are long-run coefficients, $\psi_0, \psi_1, \psi_2, \psi_3, \psi_4$ are short-run coefficients, v is the coefficient of the error-correction term, and ε_t is an error term. For each individual case, a number of specifications are tried (unrestricted constant, restricted constant, and restricted linear trend), and the one that ensures significance of all variables (including deterministic one) is selected.

Given that the presence of cointegration is sensitive to the lag selection, and that the study sample is limited to 36 observations, the ARDL lags are selected from a maximum of 1, 2, and 4 lags using automatic selection algorithm, based on Akaike information criterion (or using fixed lags, when serial correlation problem is present). The advantages of ARDL are noted: higher power in small samples, a single-equation reduced form, invariance to the different order of integration of the variable, as well as flexible lag structure.

If no cointegration is detected, the ordinary least squares (OLS) model in first differences is estimated (and elasticities are obtained accordingly) as follows:

$$DGDPCAP_t = \beta_0 + \beta_1 DGFCE_t + \beta_2 DHC_t + \beta_3 DITT_t + \varepsilon_t, \quad (4)$$

where $DGDPCAP_t, DGFCE_t, DHC_t, DITT_t$ are differenced variables, and ε_t is an error term.

Secondly, the convergence in the GDP per capita between respective Latin American economies and the USA is considered. The objective of the paper is to examine ITTs in relation to GDP per capita, in particular to establish whether ITT changes contribute positively to GDP per capita convergence (reduction of GDP per capita gap). This, in turn, requires testing whether convergence actually takes place.

To this end, the ratio of the GDP per capita in Latin American economy to the GDP per capita in the USA (the former representing developing economy, the latter standing for developed economy) is defined to represent the GDP per capita gap.

In contrast to σ -convergence test that examines whether cross-sectional dispersion in the GDP per capita increases or decreases over time, and β -convergence test that examines whether growth rates of the economies with low GDP per capita exceed rates in the economies with high GDP per capita, this paper conceptualizes convergence as a stochastic convergence (Bernard and Durlauf, 1995). We consider specifically whether the GDP per capita ratio contain trends, is mean reverting or follows stochastic process. Four tests are employed: the linear trend, the Augmented Dickey Fuller (ADF) test regression, and the Lee-Strazicich LM unit root tests with one or two structural breaks.

The presence of the statistically significant trend with (or without) breaks in the GDP per capita ratio under all or the majority of the tests would indicate that GDP per capita gap narrows down (the positive sign of the trend) or widens (negative sign), the former case representing stochastic convergence. The absence of a significant trend would, in contrast, show that GDP per capita gap is mean reverting or follows random walk, and therefore no definite convergence or divergence tendencies are present.

The linear trend model is specified as

$$GDPCAP_{ij} = \alpha_t + \beta_t TREND + \varepsilon_t, \quad (5)$$

where $GDPCAP_{ij}$ is the natural logarithms of the ratio of the GDP per capita in a respective Latin American economy to the US GDP per capita, expressed in percentage

terms (representing GDP per capita gap), β_t is the coefficient of the trend term, and ε_t is an error term. AR(1) and AR(2) are added in cases, when serial correlation is present. Decrease in GDP per capita gap is indicated when $\beta_t > 0$; i.e., when GDP per capita in a respective Latin American economy increases relative to the US GDP per capita; the gap increases when $\beta_t < 0$.

The ADF test is conducted using following equation:

$$\Delta(GDPCAP_{ij})_t = \alpha + \beta t + \psi(GDPCAP_{ij})_{t-1} + \sum_{i=1}^m \delta \Delta(GDPCAP_{ij})_{t-1} + v_t, \quad (6)$$

where α is the intercept term, β is the coefficient of trend term of t , ψ is the coefficient of the error-correction term, δ is the coefficient of the augmenting term, Δ is difference operator, v is the error term, error-correction term is negative and falls within $-1 < \psi < 0$ range, the long-run trend in the GDP per capita gap is $b = -\beta\psi^{-1}$, $\psi = -(I - \sum_{i=1}^m \delta)$. Deterministic trend is present when $\beta < 0, \psi < 0$ or $\beta > 0, \psi < 0$, reversion to historical mean occurs when $\beta = 0, \psi < 0$, random walk with drift is observed when $\beta < 0, \psi = 0$ or $\beta > 0, \psi = 0$ and random walk without drift is indicated when $\beta = 0, \psi = 0$ (Bleaney and Greenaway, 1993, p.351).

The Lee-Strazicich LM test (Lee and Strazicich, 2003) statistic was estimated using following equation:

$$\Delta LS_t = d\Delta Z_t + \phi \tilde{S}_{t-1} + \sum \delta_i \Delta \tilde{S}_{t-i} + \varepsilon_t, \quad (7)$$

where \tilde{S}_t is de-trended series, ε_t is an independently and identically distributed error term, Z_t is a vector of exogenous variables, ϕ is a relevant estimator used in calculating minimum LM statistic. The latter is defined as $LM = \inf_{\lambda} \tau(\lambda)$, where λ is break location, and τ is a ratio of estimator ϕ to its standard deviation. The number of augmenting terms ΔS_t was obtained through a general-to-specific procedure, with $k_{max} = 8$. The test was conducted using Model C, including up to two breaks in trend and intercept. The test was conducted sequentially: first with two breaks, and if only one trend break was significant (irrespective of the acceptance or rejection of the null hypothesis), the test with one break was performed. If in the latter case, the trend break was insignificant, the test was considered inconclusive and no results reported.

Thirdly, for those economies where significant and positive trend in GDP per capita ratio ($GDPCAP_{ij}$) is identified, the relationship between this ratio and the level of ITT is considered. Prebisch-Singer hypothesis, as originally formulated, does not dictate necessary convergence or divergence (Singer, 1999). Hence both positive and negative effects of ITT on the GDP per capita gap are considered in multivariate model, where $GDPCAP_{ij}$ is a dependent variable, while $GFCE, HC$, and ITT are independent variables. The significant positive coefficient of the ITT variable would point to the fact that ITT changes contribute to reduction of GDP per capita gap and thereby convergence. The significant negative coefficient would point to the widening of GDP per capita gap and divergence.

Given that changes in ITT are driven by changes in NBTT and in the value of exports, we look at which of these ITT components (*NBTT* and *EXP*) were more salient in explaining GDP per capita gap changes. In addition, for those economies where ITT was instrumental in GDP gap reduction, we looked at the relationship between ITT gap and GDP per capita gap (ITT_{ij} and $GDP_{CAP_{ij}}$). As mentioned by Prebisch and Singer, the convergence process may be driven by the improvement of ITT in the developing economy accompanied by the deterioration of the ITT in the developed economy. Also, as argued by Sarkar (1986), a faster growth in the ITT in the developing economy may be paralleled by a slower ITT growth in the developed economy; i.e., both economies may experience absolute gains from trade (albeit the size of the gains will differ). We define the ITT gap as the ratio of ITT index in respective Latin American economy to the ITT index in the US (with both indexes having same base in 2005).

3.2. Data Sources

The sample includes 18 Latin American economies (Argentina, Bolivia, Brazil, Chile, Colombia, Costa Rica, Dominican Republic, Ecuador, El Salvador, Guatemala, Honduras, Mexico, Nicaragua, Paraguay, Peru, Panama, and Uruguay) and the USA. The study covers the period of 1980-2014.

GDP per capita data is obtained from UNCTAD database (available at <http://unctadstat.unctad.org>) and is measured in US dollars at constant 2005 prices. Gross fixed capital formation data is taken from the UN Statistics Division, National Accounts Main Aggregates Database (available at <https://unstats.un.org/unsd/snaama/dnlList.asp>). It is measured in US dollars at constant 2005 prices and includes acquisitions and excludes disposal of valuables. In this paper we follow the approach by Shaikh (2016, pp. 65-68): instead of considering that all vintages of capital are equally productive (and profitable), we include only the recent (and the more productive) investment in the equation. Income ToT (ITT) index is sourced from UNCTAD database under the name of purchasing power index of exports. ITT index pertains to international merchandise trade (i.e., excludes trade in services) and is defined as the product of net barter ToT index and the volume index of exports, or equivalently as ratio of the value index of exports to the import unit value index. Gross enrolment ratio series are obtained from the World Bank database (available at <https://data.worldbank.org/indicator/SE.SEC.ENRR>, indicator code - SE.SEC.ENRR). It is defined as the ratio of total enrolment at secondary school level, for both sexes, to population in the official age group corresponding to this level of education. In two cases when enrolment data were not available (Bolivia and Brazil), the human capital index was used instead. The index of human capital per person is retrieved from Penn World Table 9.0 (PWT 9.0) and is based on the average years of schooling and returns to education (Barro and Lee, 2013).

The missing data were filled in using linear interpolation method. The natural logarithm was taken of all variables in question to ensure scale invariance and to assist

interpretation of the effects of independent variables, with estimated coefficients being estimated percentage changes in the dependent variable for a percentage change in the independent variable.

4. EMPIRICAL RESULTS

The results of the bounds test are presented in Table 1.

Table 1. Bounds Test Results (GDP per Capita as Dependent Variable)

Country	Model 2			Result
	F-test	ECM t-test	ARDL model	
Argentina	4.945	-5.195	(1,2,0,1) A URC	C
Bolivia	5.085	-5.626	(2,2,0,0) A RC	C
Brazil	4.003	-4.419	(4,1,0,4) A URC	C
Chile	8.954	-7.274	(2,1,1,2) A RLT	C
Colombia	4.235	-8.851	(1,1,1,0) RC	C
Costa Rica	8.462	-6.144	(1,1,1,1) URC	C
Dominican Rep.	4.577	-4.327	(1,1,0,0) A RC	C
Ecuador	2.131	-3.479	(1,1,0,2) A RLT	NC
El Salvador	9.321	-6.654	(1,4,2,4) A URC	C
Guatemala	13.795	-8.407	(1,1,0,0) A RLT	C
Honduras	7.365	-6.575	(1,1,2,2) A RC	C
Mexico	5.871	-6.098	(4,4,2,1) A RLT	C
Nicaragua	3.973	-4.126	(4,1,1,1) F URC	C
Paraguay	6.791	-5.653	(1,2,4,3) A URC	C
Peru	4.776	-5.147	(2,2,0,2) A RLT	C
Panama	4.049	-4.465	(4,3,3,3) F URC	C
USA	4.272	-5.001	(3,0,0,0) F URC	C
Uruguay	3.529	-5.140	(1,2,0,0) A RC	C
Venezuela	3.488	-3.812	(2,1,1,1) A RLT	NC

Note: C and NC indicate the presence of or the absence of cointegration, A indicates selection of lags using Akaike Information Criterion, F represents selection of the fixed lags. ECT represents t-statistic of the error-correction term in PSS and ARDL model. F-stat represents F-statistic in PSS bounds test.

Specification	k = 1		k = 3	
	I(0)	I(1)	I(0)	I(1)
RC	3.96	4.53	3.16	4.19
URC	5.29	6.18	3.62	4.91
RLT	5.23	5.78	3.94	4.92

The critical values for the bounds test are taken from Narayan (2005) and presented for models with restricted constant and no trend, RC (Case II in Narayan's paper); unrestricted constant and no trend, URC (Case III); and restricted linear trend and unrestricted constant, RLT (Case IV). The critical values correspond to the 5% significance level, $n=35$, and $k = 1$ or $k=3$ (where n is the number of observations, and k is the number of regressors). I(0) and I(1) are lower and upper bounds respectively.

Table 2. ARDL and OLS Estimates (GDP per Capita as Dependent variable)

Country	INV	HC/EN	ITT	Model	F-stat	ECT	JB	BGLM	R_{adj}^2	Het	ARCH	RESET
Argentina	0.353	-0.328	0.119	(1,2,0,1) A URC	4.945	-5.195	0.331	0.582	0.993	0.1033 BP	0.079	0.260
	(7.715)	(-1.688)	(1.834)				(0.847)					
Bolivia	0.179	0.008	0.134	(2,2,0,0) A RC	5.085	-5.626	1.998	0.403	0.996	0.2711 BP	0.908	0.650
	(6.618)	(1.452)	(8.559)				(0.368)					
Brazil	0.027	1.759	-0.276	(4,1,0,4) A URC	4.003	-4.419	0.995	0.253	0.992	0.1539 BP	0.224	0.010
	(0.286)	(5.514)	(-4.943)				(0.608)					
Chile	0.440	0.081	-0.163	(2,1,1,2) A RLT	8.954	-7.274	0.401	0.225	0.999	0.3767 BP	0.848	0.093
	(6.356)	(0.920)	(-3.307)				(0.818)					
Colombia	0.221	0.054	0.127	(1,1,1,0) A RC	4.235	-8.851	1.618	0.660	0.998	0.7447 BP	0.120	0.081
	(6.470)	(0.424)	(2.409)				(0.445)					
Costa Rica	0.262	0.379	-0.015	(1,1,1,1) A URC	8.462	-6.144	0.023	0.421	0.998	0.1856 BP	0.475	0.075
	(6.618)	(11.774)	(-0.636)				(0.989)					
Dominican Rep.	0.198	0.065	0.016	OLS	1.297		1.297	0.614	0.505	0.9101 W	0.505	0.440
	(5.996)	(0.626)	(3.096)				(0.523)					
Ecuador	0.193	0.073	0.053	OLS	1.082		1.082	0.635	0.526	0.6832 W	0.823	0.182
	(5.776)	(0.969)	(2.230)				(0.582)					
El Salvador	0.601	0.326	-0.352	(1,4,2,4) A URC	9.321	-6.654	1.137	0.288	0.998	0.2789 BP	0.531	0.168
	(3.075)	(1.919)	(-2.150)				(0.566)					
Guatemala	0.194	0.032	-0.088	(1,1,0,0) A RLT	13.795	-8.407	0.021	0.141	0.993	0.5542	0.785	0.120
	(3.069)	(0.256)	(-0.938)				(0.989)					

Table 2. ARDL and OLS Estimates (GDP per Capita as Dependent variable) (cont.)

Country	INV	HC/EN	ITT	Model	F-stat	ECT	JB	BGLM	R_{adj}^2	Het	ARCH	RESET
Honduras	0.057 (1.356)	0.362 (6.302)	0.052 (0.668)	(1,1,2,2) A RC	7.365	-6.575	2.093 (0.351)	0.548	0.988	0.1766 BP	0.788	0.218
Mexico	0.373 (5.722)	0.384 (4.483)	-0.068 (-2.461)	(4,4,2,1) A RLT	5.871	-6.098	0.043 (0.978)	0.152	0.996	0.4339 BP	0.661	0.327
Nicaragua	0.399 (3.139)	-0.138 (-1.075)	0.144 (1.450)	(4,1,1,1) A URC	3.973	-4.126	0.994 (0.608)	0.119	0.995	0.9485	0.385	0.363
Paraguay	0.652 (7.145)	0.074 (0.674)	0.064 (0.660)	(1,2,4,3) A URC	6.791	-5.653	0.196 (0.907)	0.899	0.970	0.1645 BP	0.483	0.352
Peru	0.150 (1.844)	0.089 (0.254)	0.366 (5.568)	(2,2,0,2) A RLT	4.776	-5.147	0.856 (0.652)	0.331	0.993	0.1407 BP	0.787	0.116
Panama	0.053 (0.689)	1.717 (1.864)	0.164 (2.855)	(4,3,3,3) F URC	4.049	-4.465	1.058 (0.589)	0.219	0.996	0.8192 BP	0.352	0.050
USA	0.337 (10.624)	0.035 (0.198)	0.131 (5.529)	(3,0,0,0) F URC	4.272	-5.001	0.371 (0.831)	0.549	0.998	0.2723 W	0.748	0.183
Uruguay	0.038 (0.406)	-0.534 (-2.280)	0.575 (4.087)	(1,2,0,0) A RC	3.529	-5.140	0.264 (0.876)	0.632	0.993	0.2545 W	0.169	0.647
Venezuela	0.268 (12.186)	-0.178 (-1.228)	0.026 (1.289)	OLS			0.427 (0.808)	0.307	0.822	0.4463 W	0.967	0.442

Note: RC, URC and RLT represent ARDL model specifications. A and F indicate selection of lags using Akaike Information Criterion or the fixed lags; W and BP stand for White and Breusch Pagan LM tests of heteroscedasticity; HW indicates Huber-White robust standard errors; BG LM indicates Breusch-Godfrey serial correlation LM test; JB indicates Jarque-Bera test for normality. ECT represents t-statistic of the error-correction term in PSS and ARDL model. F-stat represents F-statistic in PSS bounds test. Significant (at 10% level) and positive coefficients of ITT are highlighted in bold; t-statistics are in parentheses.

The F-statistic indicates cointegration in all cases, except Ecuador and Venezuela. For several borderline cases when F-statistic fell within I(0) and I(1) bounds (Brazil, Nicaragua, Peru, Panama, USA, and Uruguay), the error correction terms (ECT) from associated ARDL equations were considered. The value of ECT was within (0;-1) range and was significant, with the t-statistic of the term exceeding the relevant critical values. It was concluded that cointegrating relations were thus present in these cases.

For those economies where cointegration was detected, the ARDL model was estimated (Table 2). The requisite diagnostic tests (Jarque-Bera normality test, White or Breusch-Pagan heteroskedasticity tests, Breusch-Godfrey serial correlation LM test, ARCH, RESET, and CUSUM tests) have been passed. The positive and significant coefficients for ITT were obtained for Argentina, Bolivia, Colombia, Peru, Panama, USA, and Uruguay. A significant negative sign of ITT was present in the case of Brazil, Chile, El Salvador, and Mexico. In all economies, the sign of gross fixed capital formation (investment) variable was positive, while the sign of the education variable (as represented by the human capital index or gross secondary enrolment ratio) was positive in all economies except Argentina and Uruguay, where it was significant and negative. This latter result is not uncommon and may be attributed to a number of factors: measurement errors in human capital (including quality composition and aggregation of human capital), varying patterns of technical change as well as structural change from labour- to capital-intensive production, qualitative deficiencies in educational systems, acceleration of enrolments and of human capital accumulation during the periods of productivity slowdown, and the types of channels through which human capital affects the economy (Dessus, 1999; De La Fuente and Domenech, 2006; Arcand and D'Hombres, 2007; Sunde and Vischer, 2015).

The negative sign of the ITT in Brazil, Mexico, and El Salvador is probably indicating that improvement in ToT does not translate to increase in GDP per capita. In the former two economies, the exports of primary resources (petroleum in Mexico, and minerals and agricultural commodities in Brazil) have negative effects on growth through rent seeking and corrosive effects on institutions (Baland and Francois, 2000; Isham et al., 2003), or through real exchange rate appreciation and decrease in non-resource exports ('Dutch Disease' channel, van Wijnbergen, 1984). These effects have been documented in Mexico by Usui (1997) and Farfán-Mares (2010); and in Brazil by Bresser-Pereira and Marconi (2009). In El Salvador, despite improving ITTs and increase in the volume and value of exports, the negative effects of ITTs on growth were likely attributed to the influx of foreign exchange from remittances as well as aid, leading to currency appreciation and decrease in exports competitiveness ('Dutch Disease driven by remittances and aid', Paus, 1995).

In the case of Chile, the presence of negative sign of the ITT coefficient attests to two concurrent developments: on one hand, copper exports continue to be important, constituting 9% of GDP in the late 1990s (Caballero, 2000, p.13) and resulting in the positive sign coefficient; on the other, the ToT shocks are effectively cushioned and a decrease in ToT does not decrease GDP per capita and growth (negative sign

coefficient). In Chile, the ‘Dutch Disease’ symptoms are effectively curtailed by taxing copper export revenues (Bresser-Pereira, 2010, p.161), as well as by flexible exchange rate policies (Adler et al., 2017) and less pro-cyclical fiscal policies (Roch, 2017).

For the economies with no cointegration detected, the OLS model in the first differences was estimated (Table 2). The OLS model was also estimated when cointegration was identified, but none of the coefficients were significant (Dominican Republic). The significant positive coefficients of the first difference of ITT were indicated in the Dominican Republic and Ecuador. The negative coefficient of the differenced gross secondary enrolment was shown for Venezuela.

Overall, when ARDL and OLS results are summarised, we conclude that in each economy except Brazil, Chile, Costa Rica, Guatemala, Mexico and El Salvador there was at least one positive coefficient of the ITT in levels or in the first difference; i.e., in these economies the growth of ITT had a positive effect on growth of GDP per capita (or, for OLS models in first differences, the change in the growth of ITT and growth change in GDP per capita). The 12 economies excluding El Salvador were considered in the next stage of the analysis.

The results of the time series analysis of the GDP per capita gap are presented in Table 3. The linear trend model was estimated with the relevant dummy variables (representing structural breaks in the data), as well as up to three AR terms to correct serial correlation. Significant positive trend was identified for Dominican Republic, while significant negative trends were identified for Argentina, and Venezuela. The results of the ADF regression model suggest that positive deterministic trends in the GDP per capita gap were present in Bolivia, Dominican Republic, Honduras, Nicaragua, and Uruguay. Mean reversion was indicated in Argentina, and Venezuela. Random walk with drift was shown in Colombia, Ecuador, and Panama. Random walk without drift was present in Paraguay, and Peru. According to the Lee-Strazicich LM test with one or two breaks, trend stationarity with two breaks was identified in Argentina, Ecuador, Honduras, Peru, Uruguay, and Venezuela, and trend stationarity with a single break was found in Bolivia, Colombia, and Panama. Unit root with break(s) was present in the Dominican Republic and Paraguay. No break coefficients were significant in Honduras, and hence the Lee-Strazicich LM test was considered inapplicable in this case. In addition, the trend model with quadratic terms (estimated as part of the robustness check, but not reported to conserve space) suggest that non-linearities were present in all cases except Uruguay, where the signs of the trend and quadratic trend terms were same (i.e., there was a continuous increase in the GDP per capita gap series). These results are in line with the findings of Benavides et al. (2014, p. 271), who establishes GDP per capita divergence between the Latin American countries and the USA in 1951-1990 (principally due to the debt crisis in the 1980s and the oil shocks of the 1970s), but convergence in 1990-2010.

The identified structural breaks generally correspond to the salient global and country-specific economic and political events and developments. Regarding the global events, the 1997 break in Colombia, Ecuador and Paraguay and 1999 break in Colombia

may align with the 1997-1998 global financial crisis (originating in South-East Asia and spilling over to Russia and Brazil), and the 2009 break in Ecuador to the 2008-2009 global financial crisis.

Table 3. Trend Model and Unit Root Tests Results (GDP per Capita Gap)

Country	Trend	AR	Breaks	ADF regression		Model	LM test	Breaks	Model	
				β	ψ					
Argentina	-0.008 (-1.790)	AR(2)		0.000 (-0.058)	-0.182 (-2.172)		-6.343	7	1990 2000	TSB
Bolivia	-0.007 (-0.964)	AR(1)		0.001 (1.833)	-0.095 (-2.516)	DT	-5.282	8	1990	TSB
Colombia	0.002 (0.383)	AR(1)	1999	0.001 (2.413)	-0.049 (-1.064)	RWD	-6.676	8	1997	TSB
Dominican Rep.	0.010 (1.817)	AR(2)		0.004 (5.491)	-0.144 (-3.211)	DT	-4.706	1	1992 2006	URB
Ecuador	-0.006 (-1.014)	AR(1)		0.001 (1.874)	-0.041 (-0.850)	RWD	-6.985	7	1997 2009	TSB
Honduras	-0.007 (-1.266)	AR(3)	1999	0.001 (2.000)	-0.101 (-2.317)	DT	-6.570	7	1992 2012	TSB
Nicaragua	-0.015 (-1.569)	AR(1)	1988-9	0.001 (2.009)	-0.040 (-1.876)	DT	-2.938	6	1992	NA
Paraguay	-0.004 (-0.637)	AR(1)		0.002 (1.535)	-0.054 (-0.666)		-4.109	8	1997	URB
Peru	-0.004 (0.748)	AR(1)	1983 1989	0.001 (0.727)	0.000 (0.011)		-9.678	8	1991 1996	TSB
Panama	0.010 (1.140)	AR(1)	1989	0.003 (3.816)	-0.059 (-1.441)	RWD	-5.715	1	2002	TSB
Uruguay	0.002 (0.301)	AR(1)		0.001 (1.774)	-0.152 (-2.665)	DT	-7.445	5	1990 2000	TSB
Venezuela	-0.018 (-2.886)	AR(1)		-0.002 (-1.054)	-0.200 (-2.115)		-6.033	7	1991 2004	TSB

Note: TSB and URB indicate trend-stationarity with break and unit root with break, NA stands for cases, when no breaks are identified by Lee-Strazicich LM test. DT, ST, MR and RWD represent deterministic trend, stochastic trend, mean reversion and random walk with drift. T-statistics are in parentheses.

The regional developments involved the implementation by the South and Central American economies of the economic stabilisation and structural economic reforms supported by the IMF and World Bank in the early 1990s, likely explaining the breaks in Bolivia in 1990, Venezuela in 1991, Dominican Republic in 1992, and in Honduras and Nicaragua in 1992 (Cardemil et al., 2000; DiJohn, 2004; Kehoe et al., 2019, pp.11-16).

The country-specific breaks are: 1988-89 break in Nicaragua (corresponding to the end of the 10-year long civil war and the start of macroeconomic stabilisation; Ocampo, 1991, pp.350); 1989 break in Panama (the US invasion of the country and the overthrow of Gen. Noriega regime); 2006 break in the Dominican Republic (roughly corresponding to signature and implementation regional trade agreements, CBTA and DR-CAFTA in 2000 and 2006; Ribando, 2005, pp.6); 1999 break in Honduras (the significant damage inflicted by Hurricane Mitch; Cardemil et al., 2000, pp.36); 1983, 1989 and 1991 breaks in Peru (default on external debt during the Latin American debt crisis of the early 1980s; and a period of major economic dislocation in the late 1980s-early 1990s, manifested in hyperinflation, external deficits, fiscal imbalance and domestic terrorism; Rossini, and Santos, 2015); 1990 and 2000 break in Argentina (the apex of hyperinflation in 1989-1990 and the subsequent dollarisation and the introduction of the fixed exchange rate regime under the 1991 Convertibility Plan, and the 1999-2002 recession and the currency and sovereign debt crisis of 2001 that undermined the macroeconomic regime of the 1990s; Pou, 2000); the similar breaks in Uruguay (attributed to the close integration to Argentinian and Brazilian economies and spillover effects running from there, but also to the trade liberalisation and MERCOSUR integration of the early 1990s; IMF, 2001, pp.6-9, pp.26-29).

The conclusion, based on the four tests, is that the GDP per capita gap narrows down in those cases when the linear trend, ADF, and Lee-Strazicich LM tests (or linear trend and one of the tests) indicate trend stationarity with or without breaks, and when the sign of the trend coefficient is positive (i.e., GDP per capita of the country as a percentage of US GDP per capita increases). The narrowing down of GDP per capita is shown in the Dominican Republic. The widening of the GDP per capita gap is indicated in Argentina and Venezuela. Bolivia, Honduras and Uruguay are considered special cases: despite the absence of significant trend coefficient, both ADF and Lee-Strazicich LM tests point to trend stationarity (in addition, in the case of Uruguay, the trend model with quadratic terms indicates linearity). In all other cases, the four tests deliver contradictory results and no firm conclusion is possible regarding the increase or reduction of the GDP per capita gap.

Overall, the results of the above tests suggest that there is a limited evidence of convergence of GDP per capita in Latin American economies with the US GDP per capita. This is in line with previous studies that consider stochastic convergence in the Americas: Maeso-Fernandez (2003) who do not identify the narrowing down of the gap, attributing this development to the debt crisis and the oil crisis in the late 1970s; Benavides et al. (2012), who likewise do not discover (based on unit root tests and panel cointegration) any evidence of convergence during the 1970-2010 period; Cermeño and

Llamosas (2007), who (using the Bernard-Durlauf type cointegration test) find weak evidence of convergence in the Chile-USA case during the 1950-2010 period; and Ranjbar et al. (2016), who (using a panel of unit root tests) point to convergence tendencies in Chile-USA and the Dominican Republic-USA pairs in 1969-2011.

As a next step, the cointegration between ITT and GDP per capita gap is examined using PSS bounds test and the elasticities are estimated using ARDL or OLS models. The respective results are indicated in Table 4. It is shown that changes in ITT are important for explaining the GDP per capita gap in Bolivia and Uruguay. The ITT coefficient is significant but negative in Honduras. In all other cases (Argentina, the Dominican Republic, and Venezuela), there is no significant relationship between ITT and GDP per capita gap.

In particular, in those economies, where cointegration between ITT and GDP per capita gap is identified, the conventional view of the Prebisch-Singer hypothesis (economic divergence due to deterioration in the ToT) is not supported (with the exception of Bolivia, where some support was found in the earlier period). Honduras exhibits economic divergence: GDP per capita as a percentage of the US GDP per capita declined. However, the sign of the ITT coefficient is negative; i.e., an increase in the ITT came together with a decrease in the country's GDP per capita as a percentage of the US GDP per capita. The ITTs and GDP per capita gap thus move asynchronous and the improvement in the ITTs accompanies economic divergence, the latter being likely driven by factors unrelated to ToT.

In Bolivia, GDP per capita as a percentage of the US GDP per capita likewise exhibits negative trends. The effect of ITTs on GDP per capita gap is positive, suggesting that the decline in the GDP per capita gap is explained by ITT deterioration. This effect, however, is observed only in the earlier parts of the sample (1980-1990s), while in the 2000s both ITT and GDP per capita (as a percentage of the US GDP per capita) were improving. The results would therefore vary if sub-periods are considered; implying that the conclusion that ITT drove the divergence process during the 1980-2014 period would be unwarranted. In Uruguay, GDP per capita as a percentage of US GDP increased, and the ITT coefficient is positive, convergence processes assisted by the improvement in the ITT. The relevant patterns in GDP per capita gap, ITT, NBTT, and export volume are presented in Figure 1.

Where ITT played positive role in bringing in economic convergence (Uruguay), we examine the effect of ITT constituent parts on GDP per capita gap, and also consider whether reduction in the GDP per capita gap was driven by the reduction in the ITT gap. Based on the results from bivariate and multivariate models, Table 5 shows that reduction of GDP per capita gap (increase in countries' GDP per capita as a percentage of US GDP per capita) was not due to the improvement in NBTT, but due to the growth of the volume index of exports. This is consistent with the visual representation of the time series in Figure 1. The export volume exhibits continuous increase in both economies, while NBTTs were discontinuous. Specifically, an upward trend was pronounced in the 2000s. This development may be attributed to growing trade between

Latin American economies and China, in turn improving the ToT of the former. Such improvement was particularly pronounced for economies exporting primary and agricultural products, while in economies with a strong manufacturing sector (Mexico and Argentina) the positive effects were limited due to more intense competition with China's exports in international markets, in particular in the US market (Ros, 2013). This paper's results, while covering a longer period, likely confirm this hypothesis: Uruguay is the primary exporter (albeit to a smaller extent than in 1950-70s), while the size of their basic manufacturing production and exports has reduced over recent decades.




Figure 1. Dynamics of NBTT, Export Volume, ITT Gap, and GDP per Capita Gap in Selected Economies

Both Chile and Uruguay benefited from their status of small open economies with growing exports (the major factor behind ITT improvement, despite fluctuating NBTT). In Uruguay, NBTT was stable around the mean for most of the period, with minor improvement in the mid-1990s. However, the growth in export volume was continuous and accelerating from the early 2000s and the economy benefited substantially from Mercosur, as well as from intra-industry trade with Argentina in the earlier years. The further diversification of the exports (textiles, manufactured leather, electrical machinery, and transport equipment), the growth of the services sector, as well as modernization and technological upgrading of agriculture (and foreign investment therein) also had positive effects on ITTs and the convergence process (Van Rompaey, 2007; Sandonato and Willebald, 2018; Bértola et al., 2014). The likelihood of the onset of income convergence between Uruguay and the developed economies in the 2000s is mentioned by Paolino et al. (2014).

In addition, the reduction of the ITT gap (the improvement of the countries' ITTs relative to the ITT of the US, the developed economy) played a positive role in fostering economic convergence in Uruguay, as attested by the positive sign and significance of the coefficient representing the ratio of the Uruguay's ITT index to US ITT index.

5. CONCLUSION

Overall, when looking across a sample of 18 Latin American economies, the paper's findings yield little support to PST. Firstly, in contrast to predictions by Prebisch and Singer and in line with the evidence provided by Ekholm and Södersten (2002), the ITTs had a positive effect on GDP per capita in all but a few economies, with both variables increasing during the 1980-2014 period. Secondly, the stochastic convergence in GDP per capita between Latin American countries and the USA was limited over the period, witnessed in Dominican Republic and Uruguay, the economies that according to the UN data experienced GDP per capita growth rates above the regional average in 1980-2014 (growth factors of 2.45, and 1.92, respectively). Thirdly, in the economies where statistically significant divergence was identified (Honduras) and where PST could hold, the movement in ITTs did not explain the divergence process. In Bolivia, the divergence was present, explained by the movement in the ITTs; however, such regularity was witnessed only in the earlier years, while in the 2000s, the ITTs and GDP per capita (as a percentage of the US GDP per capita) were both on rise. In Uruguay, the improvement in ITTs contributed to economic convergence with the USA; however, given that the economy has been undergoing broad based economic policy and structural transformations (regional economic integration within MERCOSUR), other salient factors could have been instrumental in the convergence process. Fourthly, Uruguay exhibited swings in NBTT over the period, and growth in ITTs was driven by increase in the value of exports. In Uruguay, ITTs grew strong not only in absolute, but also in a relative sense: the gap between ITT index in Uruguay on one hand and the ITT index in

the USA on the other became smaller. Following Singer (1999, p.912), we note that ITT increase that offsets falling or stable NBTT is not a genuinely positive development: economies (specifically those that exhibited ITT increase but also divergence) were mobilizing 'greater resources for the increase in export volume. These increased resources would have to be diverted from domestic consumption or investment.

This study probably had a number of limitations that should be addressed in future empirical work.

Firstly, ITT (as opposed to NBTT) was used as a better indicator of export capacity and export-driven growth, accounting for changes in both unit values and export quantities. Despite this, ITT did not consider the effects of productivity advances (and decline in prices and costs) of exported and imported goods (the effect captured by single and double factorial ToT).

Secondly, in a related vein, a more in-depth theoretical analysis of the driving forces of ToT is needed, in line with previous work by Araujo (2016) and Mollick et al. (2008): specifically, a more detailed analysis of price determination in developed and developing economies; structural change and modified composition of exports; as well as complex interplay of sectoral and economy-wide productivity, technological advance (and its spillovers), and export demand conditions. This would supposedly help to explain a number of country-specific developments identified in this paper (e.g., convergence driven by ITT improvement in Uruguay, but not in Argentina, when both economies have strong economic relationships; or the absence of ITT effects on growth in El Salvador or on GDP per capita gap in Venezuela). Likewise, it appears *prima facie* that ITT has improved in a number of economies without NBTT improvement: further analysis of sluggish NBTTs may be needed (e.g., in terms of specialization in export sectors with unfavourable price dynamics, or inability to improve quality and climb the product ladder).

Thirdly, the paper considered the 1980-2015 period, which included a number of developments propitious for export growth and improvement in ITTs (the shift from import substitution and inward-looking development towards export-push development, national currency devaluations, the trade liberalization in GATT/WTO, regional trade liberalization, as well as exceptionally high commodity prices in the 2000s). It remains to be seen whether these propitious factors will exercise a positive effect on ITTs in the future.

Finally, during the study period (with the exception of most recent years), the USA remained the major trading partner of the Latin America. The role of China as a trading partner will undoubtedly rise in the decades to come. It would be therefore instructive to examine PSH in a China-Latin America setting, such as a situation when China export manufactured goods to Latin America, while the latter exports primary products to China.

REFERENCES

- Adler, G., N. Magud and A. Werner (2017), "Terms-of-Trade Cycles and External Adjustment," IMF Working Paper No. 17/29.
- Anam, M. (1988), "Quota-induced Rent Seeking, Terms of Trade and National Welfare: A Paradox," *Journal of Development Economics*, 28(3), 389-395.
- Araujo, R. A. (2016), "Assessing the Dynamics of Terms of Trade in a Model of Cumulative Causation and Structural Change," *Brazilian Journal of Political Economy*, 36(1), 150-167.
- Arcand, J.-L. and B. D'Hombres (2007), "Explaining the Negative Coefficient Associated with Human Capital in Augmented Solow Growth Regressions," European Commission: JRC Scientific and Technical Reports.
- Arezki, R., K. Hadri, E. Kurozumi and Y. Rao (2012), "Testing the Prebisch-Singer Hypothesis Using Second-Generation Panel Data Stationarity Tests with a Break," *Economics Letters*, 117(3), 814-816.
- Athukorala, P. (1993), "Manufactured Exports from Developing Countries and Their Terms of Trade: A Reexamination of the Sarkar-Singer Results," *World Development*, 21(10), 1607-1613.
- Athukorala, P. (2000), "Manufactured Exports and Terms of Trade of Developing Countries: Evidence from Sri Lanka," *Journal of Development Studies*, 36(5), 89-104.
- Baland, J.-M. and P. Francois (2000), "Rent-seeking and Resource Booms," *Journal of Development Economics*, 61(2), 527-42.
- Baldwin, R. E. (1955), "Secular Movements in the Terms of Trade," *American Economic Review*, 45(2), 259-269.
- Barro, R. J. and J.-W. Lee (2013), "A New Data Set of Educational Attainment in the World, 1950-2010," *Journal of Development Economics*, 104(5), 184-198.
- Basu, P. and D. McLeod (1992), "Terms of Trade Fluctuations and Economic Growth in Developing Economies," *Journal of Development Economics*, 37(1-2), 89-110.
- Batra, R. N. and P. K. Pattanaik (1971), "Factor Market Imperfections, the Terms of Trade, and Welfare," *American Economic Review*, 61(5), 946-955.
- Benavides, D. R., Hernandez, I. P. and F. Venegas-Martinez (2012), "La Hipotesis de Convergencia en America Latina: Un Analisis de Cointegracion en Panel," *EconoQuantum*, 9(2), 99-122.
- Benavides, D. R., I. P. Hernandez and M. A. Gonzalez (2014), "Economic Growth and Convergence in Latin America, 1950-2010," *Monetaria*, 2(2), 253-284.
- Ben-David, D. (1996), "Trade and Convergence among Countries," *Journal of International Economics*, 40(3-4), 279-298.
- Bernard, A.B. and S. N. Durlauf (1995), "Convergence of International Output," *Journal of Applied Econometrics*, 10(2), 97-108.
- Bértola, L., F. Isabella and C. Saavedra (2014), "El Ciclo Económico de Uruguay, 1998-2012," CEPAL: Santiago de Chile.

- Bhagwati, J. N. and R. A. Brecher (1980), "National Welfare in an Open Economy in the Presence of Foreign-owned Factors of Production," *Journal of International Economics*, 10(1), 103–115.
- Blattman, C., J. Hwang and J. G. Williamson (2003), "The Terms of Trade and Economic Growth in the Periphery 1870-1983," NBER Working Paper No. 9940.
- Bleaney, M. and D. Greenaway (1993), "Long-Run Trends in the Relative Price of Primary Commodities and in the Terms of Trade of Developing Countries," *Oxford Economic Papers*, 45(3), 349-363.
- Brecher, R. A. and E. U. Choudhri, (1982), "Immiserizing Investment from Abroad: The Singer-Prebisch Thesis Reconsidered," *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 97(1), 181-190.
- Bresser-Pereira, L. C. and N. Marconi (2009), "Dutch Disease and De-Industrialization," *Valor Económico*, 1-4.
- _____ (2010), *Globalization and Competition: Why Some Emergent Countries Succeed While Others Fall Behind*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Caballero, R. J. (2000), "Structural Volatility in Chile: A Policy Report," Inter-American Development Bank Working Paper No. 421.
- Cardemil, L., J. C. Di Tata and F. Frantischek (2000). "Central America. Adjustment and Reforms in the 1990s," *Finance and Development*, 37 (1), 34-37.
- Cermeño, R. and I. Llamosas (2007), "Convergencia del PIB Per Cápita de 6 Países Emergentes con Estados Unidos: Un Análisis de Cointegración," *EconoQuantum*, 4(1), 59-84.
- Chao, C. C. and E. S. Yu (1990), "Urban Unemployment, Terms of Trade and Welfare," *Southern Economic Journal*, 56(3), 743-751.
- Choi, C. (2009), "Does Bilateral Trade Lead to Income Convergence?" *Journal of Economic Development*, 34(1), 71-79.
- Cuddington, J. T. and C. Urzua (1989), "Trends and Cycles in the Net Barter Terms of Trade: A New Approach," *Economic Journal*, 99(396), 426-442.
- DiJohn, J. (2004), "The Political Economy of Economic Liberalisation in Venezuela," LSE Development Research Centre Paper No. 46.
- De La Fuente, A. and R. Domenech (2006), "Human Capital in Growth Regressions: How Much Difference Does Data Quality Make?" *Journal of the European Economic Association*, 4(1), 1-36.
- Dessus, S. (1999), "Human Capital and Growth: The Recovered Role of Education Systems," SSRN Scholarly Paper No. 632700, Rochester: Social Science Research Network.
- Ekholm, K. and B. Södersten (2002), "Growth and Trade vs. Trade and Growth," *Small Business Economics*, 19(2), 147-162.
- Farfán-Mares, G. (2010), "Mexico's Curse," University of Miami, Center for Hemispheric Policy.
- Fosu, A. K. (2011), "Terms of Trade and Growth of Resource Economies: A Tale of Two Countries," UNU WIDER Working Paper No. 2011-28.

- Grilli, E. R. and M. C. Yang (1988), "Primary Commodity Prices, Manufactured Goods Prices, and the Terms of Trade of Developing Countries: What the Long Run Shows," *World Bank Economic Review*, 2(1), 1-47.
- Hadass, Y. and J. Williamson (2003), "Terms of Trade Shocks and Economic Performance 1870-1940: Prebisch and Singer Revisited," *Economic Development and Cultural Change*, 51(3), 629-56.
- Helpman, E. and P. R. Krugman (1985), *Market Structure and Foreign Trade*, Cambridge: MIT Press.
- International Monetary Fund / IMF (2001), *Uruguay: Recent Economic Developments*, Washington: IMF Country Report No. 01/47.
- Isham, J., L. Pritchett, M. Woolcock and G. Busby (2003), *The Varieties of the Resource Experience: How Natural Resource Export Structures Affect the Political Economy of Economic Growth*, Mimeo, World Bank, Washington D.C.
- Jawaid, S. T. and S. A. Raza (2013), "Effects of Terms of Trade on Growth Performance of India," *Economic Modelling*, 33, 940-946.
- Jawaid, S. T. and S. A. Raza (2015), "Do Terms of Trade and Its Volatility Matter? Evidence from Economic Escalation of China," *Journal of Transnational Management*, 20(1), 3-30.
- Jebran, K., A. Iqbal, Z. Rao and A. Ali (2018), "Effects of Terms of Trade on Economic Growth of Pakistan," *Foreign Trade Review*, 53(1), 1-11.
- Jorgenson, D. W. (1961), "The Development of Dual Economy," *Economic Journal*, 71(282), 309-334.
- Kehoe, T. J., C. G. Machicado and J. Peres-Cajias (2019), "The Monetary and Fiscal History in Bolivia, 1960-2017," Federal Reserve Bank of Minneapolis, Staff Report Paper No. 579, 1-50.
- Kellard, N. and M. E. Wohar (2006), "On the Prevalence of Trends in Primary Commodity Prices," *Journal of Development Economics*, 79(1), 146-167.
- Kim, T., S. Pfaffenzeller, A. Rayner and P. Newbold (2003), "Testing for Linear Trend with Application to Relative Primary Commodity Prices," *Journal of Time Series Analysis*, 24(5), 539-551.
- Kremers, J. J., N. R. Ericson and J. J. Dolado (1992), "The Power of Cointegration Tests," *Oxford Bulletin of Economics and Statistics*, 54(3), 325-347.
- Lee, J. and M. Strazicich (2003), "Minimum Lagrange Multiplier Unit Root Test with Two Structural Breaks," *Review of Economics and Statistics*, 85(4), 1082-1089.
- Maeso-Fernandez, F. (2003), "A Time Series Approach to β Convergence," *Applied Economics*, 35(10), 1133-1146.
- Mendoza, E. G. (1997), "Terms-of-trade Uncertainty and Economic Growth," *Journal of Development Economics*, 54(2), 323-356.
- Mollick, A., J. Faria, P. Albuquerque and M. Leon-Ledesma (2008), "Can Globalisation Stop the Decline in Commodities' Terms of Trade?" *Cambridge Journal of Economics*, 32(5), 683-701.
- Misztal, P. (2012), "Terms of Trade and Economic Growth in Poland in the Period

- 1980-2009,” *Romanian Economic Journal*, 15(46), 51-67.
- Narayan, P. K. (2005), “The Saving and Investment Nexus for China: Evidence from Cointegration Tests,” *Applied Economics*, 37(17), 1979-1990.
- Newbold, P., S. Pfaffenzeller and A. Rayner (2005), “How Well Are Long-Run Commodity Price Series Characterised by Trend Components?” *Journal of International Development*, 17(4), 479-494.
- Ocampo, J. A. (1991), “Collapse and (Incomplete) Stabilisation of the Nicaraguan Economy,” In Dornbusch, R. and S. Edwards (Eds.), *The Macroeconomics of Populism in Latin America*, Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 331-368.
- Paolino, C., L. Pittaluga and M. Mondelli (2014), *Cambios en la Dinámica Agropecuaria y Agroindustrial del Uruguay y Las Políticas Públicas*, CEPAL: Santiago de Chile.
- Paus, E. (1995), “Exports, Economic Growth and the Consolidation of Peace in El Salvador,” *World Development*, 23(12), 2173-2193.
- Pou, P. (2000), “Argentina’s Structural Reforms of the 1990s,” *Finance and Development*, 37 (1), 1-6.
- Pesaran, M. H., Y. Shin and R. J. Smith (2001), “Bounds Testing Approaches to the Analysis of Level Relationships,” *Journal of Applied Econometrics*, 16(3), 289-326.
- Powell, A. (1991), “Commodity and Developing Country Terms of Trade: What Does the Long Run Show?” *Economic Journal*, 101(409), 1485-1496.
- Ranjbar, O., T. Chang and C. C. Lee (2016), “Income Convergence toward USA: New Evidences for Latin and South American Countries,” *Iranian Economic Review*, 20(2), 141-162.
- Razin, A. and C. W. Yuen (1997), “Income Convergence within an Economic Union: The Role of Factor Mobility and Coordination,” *Journal of Public Economics*, 66(2), 225-245.
- Razzaque, M., P. Osafa-Kwaako and R. Grynberg (2007), “Long-Run Trend in the Relative Price: Empirical Estimation for Individual Commodities,” in Grynberg, R. and S. Newton (Eds.), *Commodity Prices and Development*, Oxford: Oxford University Press, 35-67.
- Ribando, C. (2005), *Dominican Republic: Political and Economic Conditions and Relations with the United States*, Washington: Congressional Research Service.
- Roch, F. (2017), “The Adjustment to Commodity Price Shocks in Chile, Colombia, and Peru,” IMF Working Paper No. 17/208.
- Ros, J. (2013), “Latin America’s Trade and Growth Patterns, the China Factor, and Prebisch’s Nightmare,” *Journal of Globalization and Development*, 3(2), 1-16.
- Rossini, R., and A. Santos (2015), “Peru’s Recent Economic History: From Stagnation, Disarray and Mismanagement to Growth, Stability and Quality Policies,” in Werner, A. and A. Santos (Eds.), *Peru: Staying the Course of Economic Success*, Washington: IMF Publications, 9-37.
- Sandonato, S. and H. Willebald (2018), “Natural Capital, Domestic Product and Proximate Causes of Economic Growth: Uruguay in the Long-Run, 1870-2014,”

- Sustainability*, 10(3), 1-26.
- Sarkar, P. (1986), "Patterns of Trade and Movements of Interregional Terms of Trade between the Developing and the Developed Market Economies, 1950-1980," *Economic Bulletin for Asia and the Pacific*, 37, 1-13.
- _____ (2001), "The North-South Terms of Trade Debate: A Re-examination," *Progress in Development Studies*, 1(4), 309-327.
- Sen, P. (1998), "Terms of Trade and Welfare for a Developing Economy with an Imperfectly Competitive Sector," *Review of Development Economics*, 2(1), 87-93.
- Shaikh, A. (2016), *Capitalism: Competition, Conflict, Crises*, Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Singer, H. W. (1950), "The Distribution of Gains between Investing and Borrowing Countries," *American Economic Review*, 40(2), 473-485.
- _____ (1999), "Beyond Terms of Trade-Convergence and Divergence," *Journal of International Development*, 11(6), 911-916.
- Slaughter, M. J. (1997), "Per Capita Income Convergence and the Role of International Trade," NBER Working Paper No. 5897.
- _____ (2001), "Trade Liberalization and Per Capita Income Convergence: A Difference in Difference Analysis," *Journal of International Economics*, 55(1), 203-228.
- Södersten, B. (1991), "One Hundred Years of Swedish Economic Development," in Blomström, M. and P. Meller (Eds.), *Diverging Paths: Comparing a Century of Scandinavian and Latin American Economic Development*, Washington, D.C.: Inter-American Development Bank.
- Toye, J. and R. Toye (2003), "The Origins and Interpretation of the Prebisch-Singer Thesis," *History of Political Economy*, 35(3), 437-467.
- Van Wijnbergen, S. (1984), "The 'Dutch Disease': A Disease after All," *Economic Journal*, 94(373), 41-55.
- Van Rompaey, K. (2007), "Revising Uruguay's Trade Policy: Towards the Negotiation of a Free Trade Agreement between Uruguay and the United States?" *Cuadernos del CLAEH*, 94-95, 121-141.
- Vianna, A. C. (2016), "The Impact of Exports to China on Latin American Growth," *Journal of Asian Economics*, 46, December, 58-66.
- Usui, N. (1997), "Dutch Disease and Policy Adjustments to the Oil Boom: A Comparative Study of Indonesia and Mexico," *Resources Policy*, 23(4), 151-162.
- Wilson, T., R. P. Sinha and J. R. Castree (1969), "The Income Terms of Trade of Developed and Developing Countries," *Economic Journal*, 79(316), 813-832.
- Wong, H.-T. (2004), "Terms of Trade and Economic Growth in Malaysia," *Labuan Bulletin of International Business and Finance*, 2(2), 105-122.
- _____ (2010), "Terms of Trade and Economic Growth in Japan and Korea: An Empirical Analysis," *Empirical Economics*, 38(1), 139-158.
- Zanias, G. (2005), "Testing for Trends in the Terms of Trade between Primary Commodities and Manufactured Goods," *Journal of Development Economics*, 78(1), 49-59.

Zarembka, P. (1972), *Toward a Theory of Economic Development*, San Francisco: Holden-Day.

Mailing Address: Ivan Trofimov, KYS Business School, Lebuh Ayer Keroh, Melaka, Malaysia 75450, E-mail: ivan.trofimov1@gmail.com.

Received July 09, 2018, Revised September 22, 2020, Accepted October 19, 2020.